

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/795,900		03/08/2004	Ho-Soon Lee	5000-1-505	1541
33942	7590	04/07/2005		EXAMINER	
CHA & RI	•		PRASAD, CHANDRIKA		
210 ROUTE 4 EAST STE 103 PARAMUS, NJ 07652				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2839	
				DATE MAIL ED: 04/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_A
n
ıĸ
1// /
A.,

<del></del>	T						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan	10/795,900	LEE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Chandrika Prasad	2839					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 I	March 2004.						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.						
	<u> </u>						
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-4 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hurley (6775444).

Hurley (Figures 1-11) shows an interior optical fiber cable having a plurality of s-z stranded tight buffer optical fibers 21, 21', 22, 22', a subsidiary tension member 24' surrounding the outer circumferences of the optical fibers and an outer coating 26, 26' formed of PVC or polyethylene by extrusion wherein the tight buffer optical fibers has a predetermined lay ratio to the outer coating layer. It is inherent that each of the optical fibers has a core, a clad layer surrounding the core, a coating layer surrounding the clad layer and a tight coating layer surrounding the coating layer.

Application/Control Number: 10/795,900 Page 3

Art Unit: 2839

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 5-8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hurley (6775444).

Hurley shows all the features of these claims except a lay ratio of –0.3 to 0.3%, the tight coating to include an aluminum tri-hydroxide fire retardant with an oxygen quotient of more than 28%, a lay ratio of 0.2 to 0.5% after shrinkage, and post-shrinkage of no more than 0.7%. An Official notice is given that such features are well known in the art of optical fibers. It would have been obvious to provide these features or properties to the Hurey's cable because selecting a specific lay ratio or specific material to provide a specific shrinkage, oxygen quotient, etc requires a mere selection of size or quantity which involves only routine skill in the art.

### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Quinn (6845200), Park (6687438), Lanier et al. (20050041941), and Fitz (6236789).

### **Contact Information**

7. Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

### **Commissioner for Patents**

Application/Control Number: 10/795,900 Page 4

Art Unit: 2839 ...

# Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad whose telephone number is (571) 272-2099.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor can be reached at (571) 272-2800 ext 39. The fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Chandrika Prasad Primary examiner April 04, 2005